



Readers are assured that the Journal's editors use a double-blind peer review for the review of all work appearing in the journal.

Reviews of submissions are handled by active researchers and scholars selected by Advisors and Editors.

#### Steps to Peer Review

1. Authors submit a proposed piece (scholarly article, essay, review, or interview) with a 120-150 word abstract.
2. Editors assess and determine the suitability of the submission for potential publication in the Journal.
3. All names identifying the author(s) for the proposed piece are removed.
4. Editors invite three reviewers featured either on the International Review Board or independent of it to serve as referees.
5. Referees signal their intention to review the submission in a thorough and timely manner, within approximately one month after receipt of submission.
6. Editors receive written reviews of the submission and follow recommendations of referees.
7. Authors are promptly notified of referee responses and editorial decisions made for submissions.
8. Should a submission be accepted contingent upon changes called for in the review, Editors work with Authors to resolve issues before publication.
9. A submission is rejected if two of three referees conclude that the submission is unsuitable for publication.
10. Submissions receive publication with unanimous agreement across the editorial panel.